PROJECT [illegible] RECORD

1. DATE TIME GROUP
[illegible] Nov 52

2. LOCATION
Mooresville, North Carolina

3. [illegible]
[illegible]

4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS
N/A

5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION
N/A

6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION
N/A

7. COURSE
N/A

8. PHOTOS
XX Yes
☐ No

9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE
☐ Yes
XX No

10. CONCLUSION
Other(Photo Analysis)
PHOTO [illegible] LABELED THAT PHOTOGRAPH WAS MADE APPROXIMATELY OF BOTTLE A MULTIPLE EXPOSURE OF ARTIFICIAL LIGHT SOURCE

11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

Observer sent photograph for analysis. [illegible] mention of where the photograph was taken. Witness received Christmas card from Germany concerning UFO sighting then he asked for our photo analysis. The photograph could have been taken in Germany, but this fact can not be determined.

FORM
AFTD SEP 52 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

[redacted]
Mooresville, N. C. 28115
January 20, 1968

George P. Freeman, Jr.
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Sir:

In December of 1966 I sent in a picture that I thought was a UFO. Well I was making some pictures a few weeks back and one of my negatives showed a light that looked like my other picture. Since I know I didn't take a picture of a UFO the one taken in 1966 must not be one either. I thought you might like to know that this so that you can list this picture as identified. I know you probably get a lot of pictures of UFOs and some of these are faked, but I think the Air Force should look into this sort of thing and they might find something of value. You probably don't believe in flying saucers, if you looked into this subject you might.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

[redacted]

NAR

FILE WITH CASE

16-UFOW 6-2

SAFOICC/Mrs Cassel/jad/79079/14 Mar 67

MAR 15 1967

Dear Mr. [redacted]

I am sorry that it is taking so long to evaluate the photograph that you submitted. However, in order to make a detailed study, the following camera data is necessary:

a. Type of camera.

b. Type of film.

c. Focal length of camera.

d. Lens manufacturer.

e. Exposure time.

f. "F" stop.

Mr. [redacted] did not report his UFO sighting to the Air Force; therefore, we have not investigated it.

Regarding the picture you submitted of the "captured spaceman", I think you will find the article on "UFO Hoaxes and Hallucinations" by Lloyd Mallen in the March 1967 edition of Science & Mechanics of interest.

Thank you for your letter.

Sincerely,

GEORGE P. FREEMAN, JR.
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] Circle
Mooresville, North Carolina 28115

COORDINATED BY: SAFOI-CC

/ SAFOIC Coord cy
Cmbk cy
Rdrs cy
Acty cy

[redacted]
Mooresville, N. C. 28115
February 15, 1967

Lt. Colonel George P. Freeman Jr.
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information
Washington, D. C. 20330

Dear Sir:

A few weeks ago I sent you the negative of an unidentified object that appeared on a time exposure that I had taken. I also sent you two magazine clippings having something to do with UFOs.

I am doing a science fair project on UFOs and would like to have the information sent back to me , for the fair will be held in a matter of days.

I would also like to obtain additional information on the Derenbegar sighting if possiable.

I hope that I have not become a nuisance to you or bothered you in any way.

Thank you very much for your help.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

16-17 Nov 67

SAF-OICC/Maj Rademacher/bp/79079/23 Jun 67

JUN 23 1967

Dear Mr. [redacted]

Reference your unidentified observation and subsequent photograph of an unidentified flying object.

Examination of the negative revealed the object to be composed of numerous circular light sources grouped together forming a nucleus or cloud-like pattern. The background of the photography appears dark except for the small degree of illumination being omitted from the object. The object has the appearance of being a multiple exposure of an artificial light source. The absence of foreground and/or background detail precludes a scaling factor to size the object. In addition, there is a lack of specific details. As such, we are unable to positively identify the object. There is no evidence in the photography to indicate the object represents an extraterrestrial vehicle.

Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

Sincerely,

BARNETT B. YOUNG
Lt Colonel, USAF
Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Attachments { 1 neg.
1 print.

Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] Circle
Mooresville, North Carolina 28115

| COORDINATED By (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| SAFOI- CC | SAFOI- C | | |

[redacted] Orgnl cy - SAF-OIC
Combk cy - SAF-OIC
Reader cy - SAF-OIC
Activity cy - SAF-OIC
Stayback

[redacted]
Mooresville, N.C.28115
March 22, 1967

Department of the Air Force
Office of the Secretary
Washington 20330

Lt. Colonel, George P. Freeman, Jr.
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Dear Mr. Freeman,

Here is the information you requested:

a. Type of camera Kodak Brownie Flashmite 20

b. Type of film Kodak 620

c. Focal length of camera Infinity

d. Lens Manufacturer Unknown

e. Exposure time 15-30 Minutes

f."F" stop The "eye" of the camera was wide open

I know that there are no star trails on the film and I can give you reason for this.

I am sorry that I can not help you anymore, but will be glad to answer any other questions you may have.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

↑

Send this with negative
& photo ~~report~~ analysis

[redacted]
[redacted]
January 5, 1957

George P. Freeman Jr.
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Dear Mr [redacted]

In my rush to mail you the nagative of my UFO I left it out. This gives me a chance to ask another question of you. Mr Frank Edwards has written a book intitled Flying Saucers - Serious Busness, I would like to know if this book is true.

Please excuse me for not including the negative in my first letter.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

Sent to W-P
"Jan

RT

[redacted]
Mooresville, N.C. 28115
January 8, 1967

Lt. Colonel George F. Freeman
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relation Division
Office of Information

not inclosed - I wrote asking for it - B (Ltr not sent)

Mr. [redacted]

I am inclosing the original negative taken on November 16/17 1966. Please inform me of what you think the object is and return the negative to me.

I am also in closing two pictures I discovered while looking for information for my science fair project. If at all possible please the questions attached to them. Also I would like your analysis before late February, so as to put it in the science fair . Thank-you,

Sincerely,

[redacted]

Photo: Otter (Lights) Nov 27 Manitowoc

5 Jan 67

22 Mar 67
Mooresville, N.C.

Proposed reply to letter from [redacted]

Dear Mr. [redacted]

Reference your unidentified observation and subsequent photograph of an unidentified flying object.

Examination of the negative revealed the object to be composed of numerous circular lgith sources grouped together forming a nucleus or cloud-like pattern. The background of the photography appears dark except for the small degree of illumination being omitted from the object. The object has the appearance of being a multiple exposure of an artificial light source. The absence of foreground and/or background detail precludes a scaling factor to size the object. In addition, there is a lack of specific details. As such, we are unable to positively identify the object. There is no evidence in the photography to indicate the object represents an extraterrestrial vehicle.

Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

1 Incl
One Negative

file copy

IDET/UFO Official

2. Safe - 24 May 67

[redacted]
Mocresville, N.C. 28115
January 5, 1967

USAF Systems Command
Foreign Technology Division
Wright- Patterson AFB
Dayton, Ohio.

Dear Sirs:

A few weeks ago a friend of mine recieved a letter from his cousin who's in Germany with her husband who is stationed there. A short note had been written on the Christmas card. Mrs Lavan,his cousin, wrote that while she was shopping she and other people had sighted a "flying saucer" that had landed, or appeared to land. She says that the snow beneith the ob melted. No one was really afraid however they began to throw snowballs at the UFO. Before the snowballs reached the object they were melted. I know little else than what I have stated. Please send me a letter explaining 1/ what the object was if known 2/ if there was a UFO seen 3/ date the obj was seen, color,size, ect.,. There may not have even been a sighting, but I believe there was. Thank-you for your trouble.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

[redacted]
Mooresville, N.C.28115
March 22, 1967

Department of the Air Force
Office of the Secretary
Washington 20330

Lt. Colonel, George P. Freeman, Jr.
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Dear Mr. [redacted]

Here is the information you requested:

a. Type of camera Kodak Brownie Flashmite 20

b. Type of film Kodak 620

c. Focal length of camera Infinity

d. Lens Manufacturer Unknown

e. Exposure time 15-30 Minutes

f. "F" stop The "eye" of the camera was wide open

I know that there are no star trails on the film and I can give you reason for this.

I am sorry that I can not help you anymore, but will be glad to answer any other questions you may have.

Sincerely

[redacted]

Send this with negatue to photo ~~repa~~ analysis

# PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 67-36



DATE OF REPORT 15 May 1967

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION Mooresville, North Carolina DATE 22 March 1967

## PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY Poor

P NRS One negative (B&W)

1. PURPOSE: This report is in response to Work Order Number 67-45 submitted by Major Quintanilla (TDETR) to determine the identity of the object photographed.

2. ANALYSIS: Examination of submitted negative indicates genuine imagery. The object appears to be composed of numerous circular light sources grouped together forming a nucleus or cloud-like pattern. The background of the photography appears dark except for the small degree of illumination being emitted from the object. Source indicated the object was photographed using a Kodak Brownie Flashmite 20 camera with an exposure time of 15 to 30 minutes. The object has the appearance of being a multiple exposure of an artificial light source. Source did not indicate a size for the object. The absence of foreground and/or background detail precluded a scaling factor to size the object. No satisfactory explanation could be made for the object.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Douglas H. Rogers

DOUGLAS H. ROGERS
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

William L. Turner

WILLIAM L. TURNER
Major, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, Photo Exploitation Division

This case contains 2,

8"x10" photos.







PROJECT 10073 RECORD

| | |
|---|---|
| TIME GROUP<br>2000Z | 2. LOCATION<br>Delaware Memori[illegible] Bridge |
| | 10. CONCLUSION<br>Insufficient data for evaluation |
| OF OBJECTS | |
| OF OBSERVATION | 11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS<br>Observer watched a round shaped object [illegible] certain height then move toward the west tance then went up out of sight the same The object's color was that of a steel [illegible] at high speeds and went at the same [illegible] The observer was not sure of the exact time only say that it was the first week of [illegible] Without a more specific date a detailed study possible. |
| OBSERVATION<br>Visual | |
| [illegible]ios | |
| [illegible] EVIDENCE | |